

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/405,328	09/24/1999	SANG-BUM KIM	678-362	9378
7	590 04/29/2003			
PAUL J FARRELL ESQ DILWORTH & BARRESE 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD			EXAMINER	
			LE, LANA N	NA N
UNIONDALE, NY 11553		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2685	8
			DATE MAILED: 04/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/405,328	KIM ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lana Le	2685			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> </ol>					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>14-20</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: 6 and 13.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>					
	ו				
Potent and Trademady Office					



Continuation of 10. Other: the argument filed 02/28/02 has been considered but is not persuasive for the reasons on the attached paper level.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 7, applicant argue that the minimum phase variation period is not determined based on PN energy information. However, based on figure 5, step 5a-5d and in fig. 8, step 8a-8d, it is inherent in Naruse et al's that when the control circuit 13 start the PN search control, the minimum phase variation period or search width, the shifting the phase chip by chip from an offset by a determined value, of the PN code is determined based on the received power level, or herein analogous to the energy information, exceeding the threshold level either in the defined search width (col 7, line 45 - col 8, line 16) and if not by shifting the phase chip by chip until the received power level is detected (col 8, lines 25-48).

The claim language of a mere multi-carrier CDMA communication system is not clarified enough to assume that all multi-carriers use the same PN code phase values and is not specifically disclosed in the claims. However, the PN sequence phase search comprises of searching the plurality of phase search starting points in which a phase variation is determined which is inconsistent with applicant's argument that the same phase is used.

The "search starting points" is disclosed by Naruse and not Blakeney, II et al as is explained in detail in the response to argument in the last office action.

Based on the above discussion, the cited references in combination teach and disclose the recitations in the claims.

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600